

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/594,450	09/26/2006	Peter Franz Beck	W1.2379 PCT-US 5534	
Douglas R Har	7590 07/20/2007 Duglas R Hanscom		EXAMINER	
Jones Tullar & Cooper			DESAI, HEMANT	
PO Box 2266 Eads Station	PO Box 2266 Eads Station Arlington, VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA			3721	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/594,450	BECK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Hemant M. Desai	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 September 2006</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 55-104 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 55-104 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/26/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da . 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 55-56, 78-92 and 93-100 and 103-104 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ruckmann et al. (6821240).

Ruckmann et al. disclose a web draw-in device comprising a superstructure, at least one former (18), a transverse cutting device (see col. 14, lines 29-33; col. 15, lines 5-10), the superstructure and the transverse cutting device adapted to separate webs of material (05, 06, 07, 08) transported in the superstructure and folded at the former, the transverse cutting device being located after the former, and at least one guide rail (80, 88, 89, 94, 96) adapted to receive a paper web leading end holding device (fig. 11) and usable to guide the web of material through the superstructure along a route of travel of the web of material in the web folding apparatus, the guide rail extending from the superstructure into the former and past, in the direction of web travel, the transverse cutting device (figs. 1-2), which meets all the claimed limitations.

Regarding claims 73-76, 85, 103-104 Ruckmann et al. discloses a storage device and separating device to separate the web-leading end from the holding device (see col. 4, lines 51-58).

Regarding claims 82-84, Ruckmann et al. disclose a glue preparation device (col. 15, lines 60-65).

Regarding claims 97-100, Ruckmann et al., as mentioned above, meets all the claimed limitations of method claims 97-100.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 57-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruckmann et al. (6821240) in view of Hauck et al. (6269751).

Ruckmann et al. as mentioned above, disclose the guide rail. Ruckmann et al. do not discloses that the guide rails is displaceable and can have articulated sections.

However, Hauck et al. disclose that it is well known in the art to provide displaceable guide rails (see figs. 1 and 3) for having articulated section for drawing in webs of material into web-fed rotary printing press (see col. 1, lines 45-50). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide displaceable guide rails as taught by Hauck et al. in the web draw-in device of Ruckmann et al. to draw-in the web through different parts of folding device.

Regarding claim 64, Ruckmann et al. disclose that the guide rail includes a bottom and spaced sidewalls defining a groove (see fig. 12) in which the holding device is guided.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,450

Art Unit: 3721

5. Claims 65-72, 101-102 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruckmann et al. (6821240) in view of Muth et al. (3544454).

Ruckmann et al. as mentioned above, disclose the transverse cutting device (see col. 14, lines 29-33; col. 15, lines 5-10). Ruckmann et al. do not disclose the structure and clipping device. However, Muth et al. teach a transverse cutting device with two pairs of cutting cylinders, wherein the transverse cutting device has a cutter cylinder, a folding blade cylinder and spur needles (213) designed as a holding system. The first transverse cutting device (202) can transversely cut off a portion of the paper web, which is skewered by the spur needles and is conducted into the waste container 215. Thus, in addition to the first transverse cutting device 203, the device contains a second transverse cutting device 202, which can also be considered to be a clipping device. This clipping device 202 is also capable of meeting the functional characteristic, namely of cutting white paper waste at the front off the webs of material. The inlet of the transverse cutting device 203 is arranged in the extension of the passage direction of the webs of material through the clipping device 202, wherein the transverse cutting device is arranged vertically underneath the clipping device. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the structure of transverse cutting devise of Ruckmann et al. as the structure as taught by Muth et al. and the clipping device to cut white paper waste at the front off the webs.

6. Claims 77 and 93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruckmann et al. (6821240) in view of Stein et al. (5699735).

Art Unit: 3721

Ruckmann et al., as mentioned above, disclose all the claimed limitations, except for a roll changer. However, Stein et al. teach a roll changer (13, fig. 2) for accommodate the printing material web of different widths (see col. 1,lines 30-33). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the roll changer as taught by Stein et al. in the web draw-in device of Ruckmann et al. for accommodate the printing material web of different widths.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant M. Desai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4458. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM-5:00 PM, Mon-Thurs...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Application/Control Number: 10/594,450

Art Unit: 3721

Page 6

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hemant M Desai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721

/hd/